

**35<sup>th</sup> EUROPEAN CONFERENCE  
ON PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH CARE  
23 – 26 August 2023**

**METHODS IN BIOETHICS AND PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE**

PROGRAMME (DRAFT 24 MAY)

<b>Wednesday 23 August</b>	<b>VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE – NEW CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004</b>
16.00-18.00	<b>REGISTRATION</b>
18.00-18.15	<b>OPENING CEREMONY</b> SPEAKERS: ... <i>PETER KAKUK (CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY) – PRESIDENT OF THE ESPMH</i> ...
18.15-19.45	<b>BRIAN EARP (UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, UK)</b> TITLE: “EXPERIMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL BIOETHICS AND NORMATIVE INFERENCE” <i>Chair:</i>
19:45	<b>WELCOME RECEPTION</b>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Thursday 24 August (morning)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>PLENARY SESSION</b>  <b>VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3</b>  <b>LV – 1004</b></p>								
09.00-10.00	<p>SØREN HOLM (UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO)  <b>TITLE: “CAN YOU DO MEDICAL ETHICS WITHOUT A COMMITMENT TO MORAL THEORY?”</b>  <i>Chair:</i></p>							
10.00-10.30	BREAK							
	<b>Session 1.1 Feminist approaches</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.2 Empirical ethics</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.3 Consent</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.4 Phenomenological bioethics I</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.5 Evidence</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.6 Digitization and digitalization</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.7 End of life</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 1.8</b>  <b>Special seminar</b> (See below)  ROOM:
10.30-10.55	<b>Feminist Methods: A Missing Debate in the Methodological Bioethical Discourses</b> Müller, Regina; et al.	<b>Normative Empirical Ethics</b> Gelhaus, Petra	<b>The role of trust in consent and health care research on addiction</b> Uusitalo, Susanne	<b>Phenomenological Bioethics: Applicative Methodology, Critical Theory or Philosophical Anthropology?</b> Svenaeus, Fredrik	<b>Is Evidence-based medicine in the patient's best interest?</b> Ukpaka, Paschal	<b>The difficult birth of empirical digital bioethics</b> De Proost Michiel; Provoost, Veerle	<b>Socio-economic factors of ‘Completed Life’ euthanasia</b> Holzman, Tessa	
11.00-11.25	<b>Ethics of Medical aid in dying: Feminist theory and reversed consent in the physician-patient relationship</b> Bretonnière, Sandrine	<b>How to do normative interviews in empirical ethics: A practical methodology</b> Ursin, Lars	<b>Dynamic Consent: A Royal Road to Research Consent?</b> Bruns, Andreas	<b>A Phenomenological Approach to Vaccine Hesitancy: Embodiment and the Life-World</b> Vēgners, Uldis	<b>The relationship between theory and practice in Theodor W. Adorno’s critical theory, and what it can do for bioethics?</b> Rajala, Anna I	<b>Non-empirical Methods for Ethics Research on Digital Medicine, Healthcare, and Public Health: A Systematic Journal Review</b> Ursin, Frank; et al.	<b>Patients' option not to know on a trajectory towards the end of life</b> Lindberg, Jenny	
11.30-11.55	<b>Rehabilitating Gadamerian hermeneutics: a feminist epistemological perspective on the algorithm-physician-patient triad</b> Kenis, Daan	<b>Ways to an integrative and transdisciplinary approach in empirical ethics – Methodological considerations from interdisciplinary ethics research on digitization in healthcare</b> Lindinger, Georg	<b>Understanding and use of implied and presumed consent by healthcare professionals in South Africa: An empirical mixed-methods study</b> Chima, Sylvester C	<b>Embodied Aspects of Disease Prevention: Phenomenologically Grounded Approach</b> Grīnfelde, Māra	<b>Betwixt Experience and Counterevidence: A Cartesian Theory of Delusion</b> Nie, Chenwei	<b>The Complexity of attending to ethics in practice in the context of data-driven healthcare technology development</b> Jansky, Bianca; Shukla, Ayush	<b>Aristotelian medical virtues, Christian medical virtues, and end-of-life decision making</b> Oakley, Justin	
12.00-12.25	<b>Adaptive preferences and decision-making capacity.</b> Hubbeling, Dienneke	<b>Empirical ethics of novel, emerging prognostic models in genetic neurodegenerative disease: a qualitative interview-study</b> Rensink, Max J; Bolt, Ineke; Schermer, Maartje	<b>Inclusion in clinical research: cross-sectional study assessing potential barriers to informed consent in randomized controlled trials published in top general and internal medical journals</b> Pranić, Shelly Melissa; et al.	<b>Phenomenological approach in exploring vaccine hesitancy.</b> Balodis, Andrejs		<b>The Values-Based Consent Model: an empirical foundation</b> Müller, Sebastian; Bröckerhoff, Peter; Geller, Simon; Woopen, Christiane	<b>Preferences of older Americans for length of life after a diagnosis of dementia</b> Davis, Dena S; Dennelly, Lauren	
12.30-13.55	LUNCH							

Thursday  
24 August  
(morning)

SPECIAL SEMINAR  
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3  
LV – 1004

Session 1.8  
ROOM:

### Special seminar: Assisted dying, euthanasia, and autonomy

*Chairs: Ignaas Devisch & Gerrit Kimsma*

**Topic:** When it comes down to end-of-life, countries deal with it in a different way. While for instance Belgium and the Netherlands have a rather liberal legislation on euthanasia, other countries like Germany, are confronted with the assignment of a court to start with assisted dying or medical aid at dying or some still prohibit active euthanasia in end-of-life like Israel. Considering these differences, in development by initiation through the medical profession (The Netherlands), or parliamentary/political decisions (Belgium/Luxembourg) or court initiated procedures, we want to reflect upon the:

1. Shaping of (past or present) procedures, including safeguards, (possibly/actually) leading to a final law
  2. The choices in ethical values that a law intends (or should intend) to promote and protect
  3. Philosophically reflect on human fate and suffering on the one hand and autonomy and free choice on the other hand, based on a conviction that policies on dealing with the end-of-life have consequences for how we shape our life as human beings.
- In this special seminar, we discuss the different legislative status in countries such as The Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, Israel or Canada, and the values related to them. We start from the question whether end-of-life should be considered as an autonomous decision of individuals; or not.

10.30-12.25

This seminar consists of five short input talks and an open roundtable where the audience can participate.

#### Contributions

- Gerrit Kimsma, (Retired/Co-founder Department of Philosophy and Medical Ethics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands):  
“The beginning and the consequences of choosing medical instead of only legal ethical values over a period of 40 years”
- Ignaas Devisch, (Ghent University, Belgium):  
“Euthanasia in Belgium and the idea of suffering: From fate to choice”
- Péter Kakuk (Central European University, Hungary):  
“End-of-life decisions in Hungary: The hospital black box”
- Shlomit Zuckerman (Hebrew University, Israel):  
“The right to die in dignity? Views and values of Israeli Health Care Professionals regarding lifesaving treatment for refusing patients”
- Stephan Sahm (Medical Clinic, Offenbach; Frankfurt University, Germany):  
“Medicine at the end-of-life: ethics and patients’ desire for euthanasia and assistance with suicide. A perspective from Germany”

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Thursday 24 August (afternoon)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>PARALLEL SESSIONS</b> VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004</p>							
	<b>Session 2.1</b> <b>ESPMH Young Scholar Awards</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.2</b> <b>Donation, transplantation &amp; organs</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.3</b> Eugenics, disability & severe mental disorders ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.4</b> <b>Phenomenological bioethics II</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.5</b> <b>Dimensions of harm</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.6</b> <b>East and West</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 2.7</b> <b>Trust and relationships</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>
14.00-14.25	<b>Capacity, Autonomy, and Risk: Reflecting on Asymmetries in Capacity to Consent and Capacity to Refuse</b> Pugh, Jonathan	<b>Conditional donation: is it justifiable to have different policies for different kinds of tissue?</b> Jenkins, Simon	<b>Opening up past futures: Eugenics, family planning and the liberalization of abortion</b> Kakuk, Péter	<b>Phenomenological Bioethics and the Narrow Scope of the “Conscious Neural Organoids” Debate</b> Lewis, Jonathan	<b>Methodological Mistakes in the Metaphysics of Harm</b> Schantz, Eli G	<b>Boundary work in the health care for people with variations of sex characteristics in Europe</b> Gramc, Martin	<b>Trust in science: a philosophical guide for making sense of empirical studies</b> Sutrop, Margit; Seppel, Külliki; Parder, Mari-Liisa
14.30-14.55	<b>Why baseline theories fall short in assessing the coerciveness of kidney markets</b> Hempeler, Christin	<b>The interaction between law and bioethics in addressing international organ trafficking</b> Matas, David	<b>Augmenting and Accommodating Accessibility: Policy Generation through the Picture Theory of Disability at Post-Secondary Institutions</b> Andres, Nicole; Firth, Steven	<b>Doing Body, Making Home, and Becoming Oneself: A Case Study for a Critical Phenomenology of Disability</b> Lin, Ya-Ping	<b>Medical compensation for wounded civilians during war</b> Gross, Michael L	<b>A Non-western approach to address the current dualisms in Synthetic Biology</b> Paleri, Varsha Aravind	<b>Impartiality or closeness? On relationships in the treatment process.</b> Drapalska-Grochowicz, Marlena
15.00-15.25		<b>Fabricating Humans: Ethical, Legal and Social Issues of 3D Organ Bioprinting</b> Salvaterra, Elena	<b>Ethical issues raised by the potential use of predictive tools for the risk of severe mental disorders: a scoping review</b> Neiders, Ivars; Mežinska, Signe	<b>The Primacy of Phenomenological Perspectives in Medicine</b> Firth, Steve	<b>Piecemeal social engineering vs. holistic social change? How an interdisciplinary animal research ethics of biomedical research may reconcile diverse perspectives</b> Mertz, Marcel; et al.	<b>Rethinking the core values endorsed within a Philippine medical school</b> Calderon, Pacifico Eric Eusebio	
15.30-16.00	<b>BREAK</b>						
	<b>Session 3.1</b> <b>Epistemology</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.2</b> <b>Biobanks</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.3</b> <b>Identity</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.4</b> <b>Clinical ethics</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.5</b> <b>ELSI</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.6</b> <b>Medical humanities &amp; emotions</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 3.7</b> <b>Artificial intelligence</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>
16.00-16.25	<b>Method and ‘The Problem of the Criterion’ in Specific Cases of Bioethical Moral Knowledge</b> Mosteller, Timothy	<b>What Does it Mean to Withdraw Consent in Biobank Research?</b> Kaaya, Emmi	<b>An experimental bioethical study on the sameness of persons and the ascription of rights</b> Dranseika, Vilius	<b>Intersectionality in clinical bioethics – suggestions for discrimination – critical clinical ethics consultations</b> Faissner, Mirjam; et al.	<b>Re-framing and re-building the unit of analysis in ELSI/A-frameworks</b> Ahola-Launonen, Johanna	<b>Medical Humanities: Embracing art, literature, and philosophy to enrich medicine</b> Yafi, Michael	<b>Ethical analysis of the management of biological samples and personal data in mass disaster for identification purposes.</b> Caenazzo, Luciana; Tozzo, P
16.30-16.55	<b>The meaning of the nonmaleficence principle from an epistemic point of view</b> Sahn, Antonia	<b>Blood donation and banking in Kolkata- it’s roadmap, imagery and fantasy</b> Aich, Ujjayinee	<b>Protecting the “inner citadel” in the age of neurotechnologies. An ethical analysis of the right to mental integrity</b> Rozynska, Joanna	<b>What kind of moral expertise is required for doing clinical ethics?</b> Münch, Nikolai; Wagner, Nils-Frederic	<b>Citizen-centred approach to public engagement on the ELSI of health technologies</b> Mayeur, Chloé; Van Hoof, Wannes; Howard, Heidi Carmen	<b>Rethinking the “bridge” of Bioethics, between Medicine and Humanities</b> Pegoraro, Renzo	<b>The value of empirical data for the ethical implementation of health technologies</b> Drogt, Jojanneke
17.00-17.25	<b>Shiny new toys: Knowledge structures and (in)equity in access to molecular technologies</b> Filoche, Sara; et al.	<b>Applying a Bourdieusian perspective to the development of biobanks</b> Seppel, Külliki	<b>Failure culture and gender identity. A nationwide empirical study amongst rescue service staff.</b> Zimmer, Matthias; Czarniecki, Dara; Sahn, Stephan	<b>Evaluating a Clinical Ethics Committee (CEC) Implementation Process in an Oncological Research Hospital.</b> Perin, Marta	<b>The data relationship: A citizen conception of health data</b> Van Hoof, Wannes		<b>“AI- Can you help me become a mother?” Ethical Aspects of Using Artificial Intelligence and Big Data in ART</b> Golan, Orit Cheryn
18.00-19.30	<b>GUIDED WALKING TOUR</b> <b>RIGA</b> MEETING POINT:						

<b>Friday 25 August (morning)</b>								
<b>PLENARY SESSION</b> <b>VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3</b> <b>LV – 1004</b>								
09.00-10.00	<b>SABINE SALLOCH (HANNOVER MEDICAL SCHOOL, GERMANY)</b> <b>TITLE: “DIGITAL BIOETHICS: METHODOLOGICAL TREND OR PARADIGM SHIFT?”</b> <i>Chair:</i>							
10.00-10.30	<b>BREAK</b>							
	<b>Session 4.1</b> <b>Empirical bioethics I</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.2</b> <b>Organoids</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.3</b> <b>Qualitative studies</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.4</b> <b>Philosophy of medicine</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.5</b> <b>Interview studies</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.6</b> <b>Enhancing decision-making</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 4.7</b> <b>Conscientious objection &amp; reflective equilibrium</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	
10.30-10.55	<b>The normative role of stakeholder engagement in empirical bioethics</b> Bomhof, Charlotte	<b>Organoid models of early human development – When is a model more than a model?</b> Holm, Søren	<b>Clinical translation and implementation of a bio-artificial pancreas: A qualitative study exploring the perspectives of patients with type 1 diabetes</b> Jongh de, Dide	<b>Philosophy of medicine: No method - no discipline</b> Hofmann, Bjørn	<b>Linguistic features in interviews with patients with chronic inflammatory bowel diseases in the context of emerging 'precision medicine'</b> Erdmann, Anke; Bozzaro, Claudia; Rehmann-Sutter, Christoph	<b>On the normative validity of decision quality instruments in medicine</b> Debrabander, Jasper	<b>Conscientious Objection as Obstetric Violence</b> Tongue, Zoe L	
11.00-11.25	<b>The vagueness of doing empirical bioethics: Findings from a qualitative study</b> Wangmo, Tenzin; Provoost, Veerle; Mihailov, Emilian	<b>Efforts against hype stuck in the logic of overpromising? The role of bioethics in stem cell and organoid hype</b> Pichl, Anja	<b>What do bioethicists believe? The results of a survey to researchers in bioethics, medical ethics and philosophy of medicine</b> Räsänen, Joonas; Nissinen, Niina-Maria; Louhiala, Pekka	<b>The Reality of Diagnostic Entities in the Philosophy of Medicine and the Role of Mechanistic Explanations</b> Müller, Stephanie	<b>Narratives of nurses on use of cultural values: suggestions for ethics education</b> Mpeli, Molihi Rosemary, Mathibe-Neke, Johanna	<b>Transparency and authority concerns about the use of algorithmic ethical decision-making in health care</b> Robinson, Michael; Byrnes, Jeffrey	<b>Against claims of a/symmetry: The disunity of conscientious objection and provision.</b> Emmerich, Nathan	<b>Session 4.8</b>  <b>Special seminar</b> (See below)
11.30-11.55	<b>Brinkmann's Socratic dialogue as an empirical bioethics approach</b> Myskja, Bjørn K; Myklebust, Alexander	<b>Cardiac Organoids: moral implications of their heartbeats</b> Simons, Jannieke N; van der Graaf, R; van Delden, J J M	<b>A qualitative study of concepts of life, dying and death using reflexive thematic analysis to disclose ethical implications</b> Stange, Lena	<b>Empirical Philosophy and the Rise of Qualitative Methods in Philosophy of Medicine</b> Scott-Fordsmand, Helene	<b>Parental experiences of living with a child with a neurodevelopmental disorder and parents' perspectives on stem cell research: A semi-structured interview study</b> van Till, Sietske A L	<b>A framework for changing practice according to normative ethical requirements and its application to medical decision making</b> Kuehlmeier, Katja; Jansky, Bianca; Mertz, Marcel; Marckmann, Georg	<b>Conflict-responsive need-based negative utilitarianism and reflective equilibrium: Recounting my career-long quest for understanding what I say</b> Häyry, Matti	<b>ROOM:</b>
12.00-12.25		<b>What is it like to be a brain organoid?</b> Árnason, Garðar	<b>Human Dignity in Home Hospice</b> Yakov, Gila; Spector-Mersel, Gabriela; Hochwald, Inbal Halevi	<b>A qualitative study on health and disease concepts: exploring problematic situations experienced in practice</b> Linden van der, Rik; Schermer, Maartje	<b>Responsibility, carriership and preconception expanded carrier screening</b> Morberg Jämterud, Sofia	<b>An Examination of the Ethical, Legal, and Policy Issues on Mobile Medical Apps on Smart Devices in Taiwan</b> Liu, Hung-En	<b>Intertwining moral philosophical analysis and discourse analysis in an empirically enriched reflective equilibrium - A three-step procedure for the investigation of public bioethics discourses</b> Ellerich-Groppe, Niklas	
12.30-13.55	<b>LUNCH</b>							

Friday  
25 August  
(morning)

SPECIAL SEMINAR  
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3  
LV – 1004

Session 4.8  
ROOM:

**Special seminar:  
The role of meta-research and secondary research methods in bioethics**

*Chair: Marcin Waligora*

**Topic:** “Meta-research” can refer to an interdisciplinary *field* that can be described as the “research on research”, i.e., the scientific investigation of scientific research (e.g., the methods used, reporting, incentives for researchers, etc.). The goal is usually to improve the quality of research. However, “meta-research” can also describe *methods* with which already existing (primary research) results are further processed, especially summarized (“research synthesis”); this is also referred to as *secondary research* (methods).

Meta-research in this second sense have been increasingly used in bioethics in the past two decades, e.g., in the form of (systematic) literature reviews. However, also meta-research in the first sense has attracted bioethicists in recent years: they examined, for example, the reporting of animal studies, the quality of clinical trials, or the compliance with research ethics standards. To some extent, they have also subjected their own field to meta-research, i.e., researching how (good) bioethics itself conducts and reports research.

However, the use of meta-research in both meanings is comparatively new. The seminar thus aims to reflect on the scope, the limits, and the value of meta-research (in both senses) for bioethics.

During the seminar we will thus discuss the following issues:

1. What is and what is not meta-research (first sense) in bioethics?
2. How to make use of meta-research (first sense) in bioethics?
3. Which methods of meta-research (second sense) or secondary research can or should be used in bioethics and for which purposes?
4. The relevance (usefulness, impact) of meta-research (second sense)/secondary research in bioethics?

10.30-12.25

**Contributions**

- Katarzyna Klas (Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):  
“ How informative were early SARS-CoV-2 treatment and prevention trials? A longitudinal cohort analysis of trials registered on ClinicalTrials.gov ”
- Daniel Strech (Charité, Berlin, Germany):  
“ How well are ethical recommendations implemented in clinical research? Meta-research on clinical trial documents”
- Marcel Mertz (Hannover Medical School, Germany):  
“ Getting one level up: Insights about the methods, the reporting, and the use of (systematic) reviews of ethics literature. An example of meta-research of secondary. research in bioethics ”
- Paola Buedo (Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):  
“ Bioethics of somatic gene therapy: what do we know so far? A qualitative systematic review”
- Marcin Waligora (Research Ethics in Medicine Study Group, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):  
“Ethics, risk and benefit for umbrella trials in oncology: a systematic review and meta-analysis”

Friday  
25 August  
(afternoon)

PARALLEL SESSIONS  
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3  
LV – 1004

	<b>Session 5.1 Empirical bioethics II</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 5.2 Health and Disease</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 5.3 Interdisciplinarity</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 5.4 Method and methodology</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 5.5 Enhancement</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>
14.00-14.25	<b>Participant Observation as a Method for Empirical Bioethics</b> Buhr, Eike; Schweda, Mark	<b>Objectivism, Subjectivism, and Values: Rethinking Health and Disease</b> Stempsey, William E	<b>The COMMUNLCARE Protocol: an interdisciplinary approach to convey severe diagnosis</b> Consolandi, Monica	<b>Justice Theory and Method in Bioethics</b> King, Nancy	<b>Enlightenment, Conceptuality, and Power – Work for Critical Theory in Debates on the Ethics of Human Enhancement</b> Sparks, Nicholas
14.30-14.55	<b>Can empirical bioethics be descriptive?</b> Corsico, Paolo	<b>Health and Disease: Between Naturalism and Normativism</b> Thorell, Amanda	<b>New methodological approach in clinical and biomedical ethics: The case of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) and partial or total cardiac aids</b> Marcucci, Laetitia; Bouvet, Renaud	<b>Can Public Reason Yield Legitimacy and Justice in Bioethics?</b> Fleck, Leonard M	<b>Human enhancement in bioethical discussions: a computational approach</b> Zuradzki, Tomasz
15.00-15.25	<b>A dialogue between empirical bioethics and field philosophy in the French-speaking context</b> Bogaert, Brenda; Dubar, Margaux	<b>Unveiling Personal Philosophies via Structured Dialogues with Patients</b> de Miranda, Luis	<b>Evaluating Human Epigenome Editing: Methods for Risk Assessment and Methodological Challenges for Bioethics</b> Alex, Karla; Winkler, Eva	<b>How Philosophy of Science Can Unlock New Methods in Bioethics</b> Fedyk, Mark	<b>Shifty Work Around Shift Work: Novel Critiques of Enhancement</b> Evans; Nicholas; Dietz, Elizabeth
15.30-15.55	<b>Mixed Signals: The integration of conceptual and empirical research to distinguish trustworthy behaviour from trustworthiness signalling in health data sharing practice</b> Fitzsimmons, Paige	<b>A proposal for a constructivist procedure-based ethical framework for One Health approaches</b> Lerner, Henrik	<b>Ethical perspectives on the traditional cost-effectiveness evaluation for the application of immunotherapy in the treatment of NSCLC</b> Mahdiani, Hamideh; Münch, Nikolai	<b>Interpretation and specification in bioethics: Never out of style?</b> Segers, Seppe	<b>Research Ethics and Enhancements: What Are Appropriate Clinical Endpoints?</b> Evans, Nicholas
16.00-16.25	<b>(Too) soon, (too) late? An empirical investigation of moral intuitions regarding the appropriate timing for becoming parents.</b> Martani, Andrea; Neeser, Nathalie; Wangmo, Tenzin	<b>Planetary Health: Ethical Implications for Health Care</b> Richie, Cristina; Verweij, Marcel		<b>Ethicists Facing scandal: What to do when methodology is lacking?</b> VanDyke, Amy	<b>Are (some) enhancements (sometimes) less controversial than (some) treatments (in some ways) for (some) persons with (some) disabilities?</b> Feeney, Oliver
16.30-17.00	<b>BREAK</b>				
17.00-18.00	<b>ESPMH GENERAL ASSEMBLY</b> ROOM:				
19.00-23.00	<b>CONFERENCE DINER</b>				

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PLENARY SESSION</b>  <b>VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3</b>  <b>LV – 1004</b></p>							
09.00-10.00	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>KADRI SIMM (UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, ESTONIA)</b>  <b>TITLE: "ON THE POSSIBILITY OF TEACHING (BIO)ETHICS"</b>  <i>Chair:</i></p>						
10.00-10.30	<b>BREAK</b>						
	<b>Session 6.1</b> <b>Pondering bioethics</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.2</b> <b>COVID-19</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.3</b> <b>Death and dying</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.4</b> <b>Reproduction</b> ROOM: 4 <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.5</b> <b>Global challenges</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.6</b> <b>Justice / injustice</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	<b>Session 6.7</b> <b>Clinical trials</b> ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>
10.30-10.55	<b>Bioethics and the Question of Interdisciplinarity: Islamic Bioethical Discourse in Focus</b> Ghaly, Mohammed	<b>How have biomedical ethics changed in the COVID-19 era?</b> Shandera, Wayne X	<b>Life on the edge: is it still possible to rely on the criterion of brain death?</b> Mosetti, Stella	From the Biopolitics of Reproduction to the Bioethics of Reproduction Sándor, Judit	<b>The existential character of collective mental health and the challenge of climate anxiety</b> Pervou, Ioanna; Mpogiatzidis, Panagiotis	<b>Coercion and contributory injustice in mental healthcare – an analysis</b> Hempeler, Christin; Faissner, Mirjam	<b>Beware of a two-tier transparency in clinical research</b> Strech, Daniel
11.00-11.25	<b>The emergence of Mediterranean Bioethics: principal ideas and protagonists</b> Muzur, Amir; Rinčić, Iva	<b>The Social Epistemology of COVID-19 (Un)Truths and the Bioethical Imperative for Democratizing scientific knowledge.</b> Dennison, Corey	<b>The death of an organism and death as the loss of moral status. Does the organismal superposition problem challenge the first, while nihilism challenges the second?</b> Nowak, Piotr Grzegorz	<b>Managing genetic conditions in sperm donor conception: ethical and methodological challenges</b> Accoe, Dorian	<b>Germline human genome editing: Need for a global forward-looking ethical regulations and governance structure</b> Devnani, Mahesh	<b>"Medical Gaslighting" On the Examination Table: Dissecting a Viral Phenomenon</b> Spear, Andrew	<b>How proven is a "proven intervention"? Ethics of placebo controls in the light of conditional approval programs for regenerative therapies</b> Hug, Kristina
11.30-11.55	<b>A Dialogical Approach in Bioethics</b> Árnason, Vilhjálmur	<b>Research ethics guidelines for global crises: "distilled existing" or new?</b> Lukaseviciene, Vilma; Lekstutiene, Jurate; Gefenas, Eugenijus	<b>Dying a Lonely Death: A Conceptual and Normative Analysis</b> Lederman, Zohar	<b>The ethics of mitochondrial replacement techniques for treating infertility</b> Braun, Esther	<b>Womb Politics</b> Simonstein, Frida	<b>Should smokers be given lower priority for treatments on the NHS waiting-lists for smoking-related conditions?</b> Vijapurkar, Gayatri	<b>A basic quality appraisal regarding reasons for acceptable risk in Human Challenge Studies</b> Katzer, Matthias; et al.
12.00-12.25	<b>Media content analyses in bioethics</b> Zimmermann, Bettina M	<b>Surprising Pandemic Experiences: A Confrontation between Principle-based and Virtue Ethics and a Plea for Virtue Ethics Training for Medical Students and Residents.</b> Shlomit Zuckerman, Kimsma, Gerrit; Devisch, Ignaas	<b>Death: From Philosophy to Medical Practice and the Law</b> Lizza, John P	<b>Bodily integrity versus family interests</b> Cutas, Daniela	<b>Health data sovereignty: A normative analysis</b> Timmermann, Cristian; Ursin, Frank	<b>The dialogical thinking as an ethical theory between meta-ethics and applied ethics</b> Sandonà, Leopoldo	<b>Challenges and benefits of implementing open science practices in clinical trials.</b> Aliukonis, Vygtintas; Poškutė, Margarita
12.30-12.55		<b>Postcovid syndrome – etiology, uncertainty, ethics</b> Ahlžén, Rolf	<b>Humanity as Meaning in Face of Dying Other: The challenges of dignified care in Lithuania</b> Urbonas, Gvidas; Peičius, Eimantas; Urbonienė, Aušra; Luneckaitė, Žydrūnė	<b>However she wants a child, with whomever she wants a child: against a-priori age limits for men in reproductive care</b> Piek, Steven	<b>Making Advance Directives (AD) during times of scarce resources – a curse or blessing?</b> Stock, Elisabeth		<b>Deconstructing the Concept of Participation in Participatory Health Research: Its Limitations and Opportunities</b> Koop-Meyer, Lea; van Gils-Schmidt, Henk Jasper; Wöhlke, Sabine
13.00-13.30	<b>CLOSING SESSION</b> ROOM:						