

**35th EUROPEAN CONFERENCE
ON PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH CARE
23 – 26 August 2023**

METHODS IN BIOETHICS AND PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE

PROGRAMME

Wednesday 23 August	VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE – NEW CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004
16.00-18.00	REGISTRATION
18.00-18.15	OPENING CEREMONY SPEAKERS: <i>PROF. INDRIKIS MUIŽNIEKS (UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA) – RECTOR OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA PETER KAKUK (CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY) – PRESIDENT OF THE ESPMH ROOM: ALFA</i>
18.15-19.45	BRIAN EARP (UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, UK) TITLE: “EXPERIMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL BIOETHICS AND NORMATIVE INFERENCE” <i>Chair: Vilius Dranseika Room: Alfa</i>
19:45	WELCOME RECEPTION

Thursday 24 August (morning)							
PLenary SESSION VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004							
09.00-10.00	SØREN HOLM (UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO) TITLE: “CAN YOU DO MEDICAL ETHICS WITHOUT A COMMITMENT TO MORAL THEORY?” <i>Chair: Johanna Ahola-Launonen</i> <i>Room: Alfa</i>						
10.00-10.30	BREAK						
	Session 1.1 Feminist approaches ROOM: 201 <i>Chair: Judit Sándor</i>	Session 1.2 Empirical ethics ROOM: 202 <i>Chair: Søren Holm</i>	Session 1.3 Consent ROOM: 203 <i>Chair: Nathan Emmerich</i>	Session 1.4 Phenomenological bioethics I ROOM: 204 <i>Chair: Steve Firth</i>	Session 1.5 Digitization and digitalization ROOM: 205 <i>Chair: Sabine Salloch</i>	Session 1.6 End of life ROOM: 206 <i>Chair: Joanna Rozynska</i>	
10.30-10.55	Feminist Methods: A Missing Debate in the Methodological Bioethical Discourses Müller, Regina; et al.	Normative Empirical Ethics Gelhaus, Petra	The role of trust in consent and health care research on addiction Uusitalo, Susanne	Phenomenological Bioethics: Applicative Methodology, Critical Theory or Philosophical Anthropology? Svenaeus, Fredrik	Fabricating Humans: Ethical, Legal and Social Issues of 3D Organ Bioprinting Salvaterra, Elena	Socio-economic factors of ‘Completed Life’ euthanasia Holzman, Tessa	Session 1.7 Special seminar (See below) ROOM: 103
11.00-11.25	Rehabilitating Gadamerian hermeneutics: a feminist epistemological perspective on the algorithm-physician-patient triad Kenis, Daan	How to do normative interviews in empirical ethics: A practical methodology Ursin, Lars	Dynamic Consent: A Royal Road to Research Consent? Bruns, Andreas	A Phenomenological Approach to Vaccine Hesitancy: Embodiment and the Lifeworld Vēgners, Uldis	The difficult birth of empirical digital bioethics De Proost Michiel; Provoost, Veerle	Patients' option not to know on a trajectory towards the end of life Lindberg, Jenny	
11.30-11.55	Adaptive preferences and decision-making capacity. Hubbeling, Dieneke	Ways to an integrative and transdisciplinary approach in empirical ethics – Methodological considerations from interdisciplinary ethics research on digitization in healthcare Lindinger, Georg	Understanding and use of implied and presumed consent by healthcare professionals in South Africa: An empirical mixed-methods study Chima, Sylvester C	Embodied Aspects of Disease Prevention: Phenomenologically Grounded Approach Grīnfelde, Māra	Non-empirical Methods for Ethics Research on Digital Medicine, Healthcare, and Public Health: A Systematic Journal Review Ursin, Frank; et al.	Aristotelian medical virtues, Christian medical virtues, and end-of-life decision making Oakley, Justin	
12.00-12.25	However, she wants a child, with whomever she wants a child: against a-priori age limits for men in reproductive care Piek, Steven	Empirical ethics of novel, emerging prognostic models in genetic neurodegenerative disease: a qualitative interview-study Rensink, Max J; Bolt, Ineke; Schermer, Maartje	Inclusion in clinical research: cross-sectional study assessing potential barriers to informed consent in randomized controlled trials published in top general and internal medical journals Pranić, Shelly Melissa; et al.	Phenomenological approach in exploring vaccine hesitancy. Balodis, Andrejs	The Complexity of attending to ethics in practice in the context of data-driven healthcare technology development Jansky, Bianca; Shukla, Ayush	Preferences of older Americans for length of life after a diagnosis of dementia Davis, Dena S; Dennyly, Lauren	
12.30-13.55	LUNCH						

Thursday
24 August
(morning)

SPECIAL SEMINAR
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3
LV – 1004

Session 1.7
ROOM: 103

Special seminar: Assisted dying, euthanasia, and autonomy

Chairs: Ignaas Devisch & Gerrit Kimsma

Topic: When it comes down to end-of-life, countries deal with it in a different way. While for instance Belgium and the Netherlands have a rather liberal legislation on euthanasia, other countries like Germany, are confronted with the assignment of a court to start with assisted dying or medical aid at dying or some still prohibit active euthanasia in end-of-life like Israel. Considering these differences, in development by initiation through the medical profession (The Netherlands), or parliamentary/political decisions (Belgium/Luxembourg) or court-initiated procedures, we want to reflect upon the:

1. Shaping of (past or present) procedures, including safeguards, (possibly/actually) leading to a final law
2. The choices in ethical values that a law intends (or should intend) to promote and protect
3. Human fate and suffering on the one hand and autonomy and free choice on the other hand, based on a conviction that policies on dealing with the end-of-life have consequences for how we shape our life as human beings.

10.30-12.25

We discuss the different legislative status in countries such as The Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, Israel or Canada, and the values related to them. We start from the question whether end-of-life should be considered as an autonomous decision of individuals. This seminar consists of five short input talks and an open roundtable where the audience can participate.

Contributions

- Gerrit Kimsma, (Retired/Co-founder Department of Philosophy and Medical Ethics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands):
“The beginning and the consequences of choosing medical instead of only legal ethical values over a period of 40 years”
- Ignaas Devisch, (Ghent University, Belgium):
“Euthanasia in Belgium and the idea of suffering: From fate to choice”
Péter Kakuk (Central European University, Hungary):
“End-of-life decisions in Hungary: The hospital black box”
- Shlomit Zuckerman (Hebrew University, Israel):
“The right to die in dignity? Views and values of Israeli Health Care Professionals regarding lifesaving treatment for refusing patients”
- Stephan Sahm (Medical Clinic, Offenbach; Frankfurt University, Germany):
“Medicine at the end-of-life: ethics and patients’ desire for euthanasia and assistance with suicide. A perspective from Germany”

<p>Thursday 24 August (afternoon)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PARALLEL SESSIONS VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004</p>							
	<p>Session 2.1 ESPMH Young Scholar Awards ROOM: 201 <i>Chair: Péter Kakuk</i></p>	<p>Session 2.2 Donation, transplantation & organs ROOM: 202 <i>Chair: Eugenijus Gefenas</i></p>	<p>Session 2.3 Eugenics, disability & severe mental disorders ROOM: 203 <i>Chair: Katja Kühlmeier</i></p>	<p>Session 2.4 Phenomenological bioethics II ROOM: 204 <i>Chair: Fredrik Svenaeus</i></p>	<p>Session 2.5 Dimensions of harm ROOM: 205 <i>Chair: Johanna Ahola-Launonen</i></p>	<p>Session 2.6 East and West ROOM: 206 <i>Chair: Frida Simonstein</i></p>	<p>Session 2.7 Trust and relationships ROOM: 207 <i>Chair: Vilhjálmur Árnason</i></p>
14.00-14.25	<p>Capacity, Autonomy, and Risk: Reflecting on Asymmetries in Capacity to Consent and Capacity to Refuse Pugh, Jonathan</p>	<p>Conditional donation: is it justifiable to have different policies for different kinds of tissue? Jenkins, Simon</p>	<p>Opening up past futures: Eugenics, family planning and the liberalization of abortion Kakuk, Péter</p>	<p>Phenomenological Bioethics and the Narrow Scope of the “Conscious Neural Organoids” Debate Lewis, Jonathan</p>	<p>Methodological Mistakes in the Metaphysics of Harm Schantz, Eli G</p>	<p>Boundary work in the health care for people with variations of sex characteristics in Europe Gramc, Martin</p>	<p>Trust in science: a philosophical guide for making sense of empirical studies Sutrop, Margit; Seppel, Külliki; Parder, Mari-Liisa</p>
14.30-14.55	<p>Why baseline theories fall short in assessing the coerciveness of kidney markets Hempeler, Christin</p>	<p>The interaction between law and bioethics in addressing international organ trafficking Matas, David</p>	<p>Augmenting and Accommodating Accessibility: Policy Generation through the Picture Theory of Disability at Post-Secondary Institutions Andres, Nicole; Firth, Steven</p>	<p>Doing Body, Making Home, and Becoming Oneself: A Case Study for a Critical Phenomenology of Dis/ability Lin, Ya-Ping</p>	<p>Piecemeal social engineering vs. holistic social change? How an interdisciplinary animal research ethics of biomedical research may reconcile diverse perspectives Mertz, Marcel; et al.</p>	<p>A Non-western approach to address the current dualisms in Synthetic Biology Paleri, Varsha Aravind</p>	<p>Impartiality or closeness? On relationships in the treatment process. Drapalska-Grochowicz, Marlena</p>
15.00-15.25			<p>Ethical issues raised by the potential use of predictive tools for the risk of severe mental disorders: a scoping review Neiders, Ivars; Mežinska, Signe</p>	<p>The Primacy of Phenomenological Perspectives in Medicine Firth, Steve</p>	<p>Ethical analysis of the management of biological samples and personal data in mass disaster for identification purposes. Caenazzo, Luciana; Tozzo, P</p>	<p>Rethinking the core values endorsed within a Philippine medical school Calderon, Pacifico Eric Eusebio</p>	<p>The Values-Based Consent Model: an empirical foundation Müller, Sebastian; Bröckerhoff, Peter; Geller, Simon; Woopen, Christiane</p>
15.30-16.00	BREAK						
	<p>Session 3.1 Philosophy of medicine & epistemology ROOM: 201 <i>Chair: Simon Jenkins</i></p>	<p>Session 3.2 Biobanks ROOM: 202 <i>Chair: Andrejs Balodis</i></p>	<p>Session 3.3 Identity ROOM: 203 <i>Chair: Cristina Richie</i></p>	<p>Session 3.4 Clinical ethics ROOM: 204 <i>Chair: Pacifico Calderon</i></p>	<p>Session 3.5 ELSI ROOM: 205 <i>Chair: Gardar Arnason</i></p>	<p>Session 3.6 Islamic bioethics, medical humanities & emotions ROOM: 206 <i>Chair: Luciana Caenazzo</i></p>	
16.00-16.25	<p>Philosophy of medicine: No method - no discipline Hofmann, Bjørn</p>	<p>What Does it Mean to Withdraw Consent in Biobank Research? Kaaya, Emmi</p>	<p>An experimental bioethical study on the sameness of persons and the ascription of rights Dranseika, Vilius</p>	<p>Intersectionality in clinical bioethics – suggestions for discrimination – critical clinical ethics consultations Faissner, Mirjam; et al.</p>	<p>Re-framing and re-building the unit of analysis in ELSI/A-frameworks Ahola-Launonen, Johanna</p>	<p>Bioethics and the Question of Interdisciplinarity: Islamic Bioethical Discourse in Focus Ghaly, Mohammed</p>	
16.30-16.55	<p>Method and ‘The Problem of the Criterion’ in Specific Cases of Bioethical Moral Knowledge Mosteller, Timothy</p>	<p>Blood donation and banking in Kolkata-it’s roadmap, imagery and fantasy Aich, Ujjayinee</p>	<p>Protecting the “inner citadel” in the age of neurotechnologies. An ethical analysis of the right to mental integrity Rozynska, Joanna</p>	<p>What kind of moral expertise is required for doing clinical ethics? Münch, Nikolai; Wagner, Nils-Frederic</p>	<p>Citizen-centred approach to public engagement on the ELSI of health technologies Mayeur, Chloé; Van Hoof, Wannes; Howard, Heidi Carmen</p>	<p>Rethinking the “bridge” of Bioethics, between Medicine and Humanities Pegoraro, Renzo</p>	
17.00-17.25	<p>Shiny new toys: Knowledge structures and (in)equity in access to molecular technologies Filoche, Sara; et al.</p>	<p>Applying a Bourdieusian perspective to the development of biobanke Seppel, Külliki</p>	<p>Failure culture and gender identity. A nationwide empirical study amongst rescue service staff. Zimmer, Matthias; Czarniecki, Dara; Sahn, Stephan</p>	<p>Womb Politics Simonstein, Frida</p>	<p>The data relationship: A citizen conception of health data Van Hoof, Wannes</p>	<p>Medical Humanities: Embracing art, literature, and philosophy to enrich medicine Yafi, Michael</p>	
17.35-19.30	<p>SOCIAL PROGRAMME (WALKING TOUR OLD TOWN RIGA, UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA MUSEUM, MEDICINE HISTORY MUSEUM) MEETING POINT: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3, GROUND FLOOR</p>						

Friday 25 August (morning)							
PLENARY SESSION VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004							
09.00-10.00	SABINE SALLOCH (HANNOVER MEDICAL SCHOOL, GERMANY) TITLE: “DIGITAL BIOETHICS: METHODOLOGICAL TREND OR PARADIGM SHIFT?” <i>Chair: Bjørn Hofmann</i> <i>Room: Alfa</i>						
10.00-10.30	BREAK						
	Session 4.1 Empirical bioethics I ROOM: 201 <i>Chair: Petra Gelhaus</i>	Session 4.2 Organoids ROOM: 202 <i>Chair: Jeremy Sugarman</i>	Session 4.3 Qualitative studies ROOM: 203 <i>Chair: Bettina Zimmermann</i>	Session 4.4 Interview studies ROOM: 204 <i>Chair: Ivars Neiders</i>	Session 4.5 Enhancing decision-making ROOM: 205 <i>Chair: Ineke Bolt</i>	Session 4.6 Conscientious objection & reflective equilibrium ROOM: 206 <i>Chair: William Stempsey</i>	
10.30-10.55	The normative role of stakeholder engagement in empirical bioethics Bomhof, Charlotte	Organoid models of early human development – When is a model more than a model? Holm, Søren	What do bioethicists believe? The results of a survey to researchers in bioethics, medical ethics and philosophy of medicine Räsänen, Joonas; Nissinen, Niina-Maria; Louhiala, Pekka	Linguistic features in interviews with patients with chronic inflammatory bowel diseases in the context of emerging 'precision medicine' Erdmann, Anke; Bozzaro, Claudia; Rehmman-Sutter, Christoph	On the normative validity of decision quality instruments in medicine Debrabander, Jasper	Conscientious Objection as Obstetric Violence Tongue, Zoe L	Session 4.7 Special seminar (See below) ROOM: 207
11.00-11.25	Brinkmann's Socratic dialogue as an empirical bioethics approach Myskja, Bjørn K; Myklebust, Alexander	Efforts against hype stuck in the logic of overpromising? The role of bioethics in stem cell and organoid hype Pichl, Anja	A qualitative study of concepts of life, dying and death using reflexive thematic analysis to disclose ethical implications Stange, Lena	Narratives of nurses on use of cultural values: suggestions for ethics education Mpeli, Moliehi Rosemary, Mathibe-Neke, Johanna	Transparency and authority concerns about the use of algorithmic ethical decision-making in health care Robinson, Michael; Byrnes, Jeffrey	Against claims of a/symmetry: The disunity of conscientious objection and provision. Emmerich, Nathan	
11.30-11.55	Deconstructing the Concept of Participation in Participatory Health Research: Its Limitations and Opportunities Koop-Meyer, Lea; van Gils-Schmidt, Henk Jasper; Wöhlke, Sabine	Cardiac Organoids: moral implications of their heartbeats Simons, Jannieke N; van der Graaf, R; van Delden, J J M	Human Dignity in Home Hospice Yakov, Gila; Spector-Mersel, Gabriela; Hochwald, Inbal Halevi	Parental experiences of living with a child with a neurodevelopmental disorder and parents' perspectives on stem cell research: A semi-structured interview study Van Till, Sietske A L	A framework for changing practice according to normative ethical requirements and its application to medical decision making Kühlmeyer, Katja; Jansky, Bianca; Mertz, Marcel; Marckmann, Georg	Intertwining moral philosophical analysis and discourse analysis in an empirically enriched reflective equilibrium - A three-step procedure for the investigation of public bioethics discourses Ellerich-Groppe, Niklas	
12.00-12.25		What is it like to be a brain organoid? Árnason, Garðar	Experimental philosophy of medicine: using a qualitative study to explore conceptual issues in medicine and healthcare' Van der Linden, Rik; Schermer, Maartje	Responsibility, carriership and preconception expanded carrier screening Morberg Jämterud, Sofia	Surprising Pandemic Experiences: A Confrontation between Principle-based and Virtue Ethics and a Plea for Virtue Ethics Training for Medical Students and Residents. Shlomit Zuckerman, Kimsma, Gerrit; Devisch, Ignaas		
12.30-13.55	LUNCH						

Friday
25 August
(morning)

SPECIAL SEMINAR
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3
LV – 1004

Session 4.7
ROOM: 207

**Special seminar:
The role of meta-research and secondary research methods in bioethics**

Chair: Marcin Waligora

Topic: “Meta-research” can refer to an interdisciplinary *field* that can be described as the “research on research”, i.e., the scientific investigation of scientific research (e.g., the methods used, reporting, incentives for researchers, etc.). The goal is usually to improve the quality of research. However, “meta-research” can also describe *methods* with which already existing (primary research) results are further processed, especially summarized (“research synthesis”); this is also referred to as *secondary research* (methods).

Meta-research in this second sense have been increasingly used in bioethics in the past two decades, e.g., in the form of (systematic) literature reviews. However, also meta-research in the first sense has attracted bioethicists in recent years: they examined, for example, the reporting of animal studies, the quality of clinical trials, or the compliance with research ethics standards. To some extent, they have also subjected their own field to meta-research, i.e., researching how (good) bioethics itself conducts and reports research.

However, the use of meta-research in both meanings is comparatively new. The seminar thus aims to reflect on the scope, the limits, and the value of meta-research (in both senses) for bioethics.

During the seminar we will thus discuss the following issues:

1. What is and what is not meta-research (first sense) in bioethics?
2. How to make use of meta-research (first sense) in bioethics?
3. Which methods of meta-research (second sense) or secondary research can or should be used in bioethics and for which purposes?
4. The relevance (usefulness, impact) of meta-research (second sense)/secondary research in bioethics?

10.30-12.25

Contributions

- Katarzyna Klas (Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):
“How informative were early SARS-CoV-2 treatment and prevention trials? A longitudinal cohort analysis of trials registered on ClinicalTrials.gov”
- Daniel Strech (Charité, Berlin, Germany):
“How well are ethical recommendations implemented in clinical research? Meta-research on clinical trial documents”
- Marcel Mertz (Hannover Medical School, Germany):
“Getting one level up: Insights about the methods, the reporting, and the use of (systematic) reviews of ethics literature. An example of meta-research of secondary research in bioethics”
- Paola Buedo (Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):
“Bioethics of somatic gene therapy: what do we know so far? A qualitative systematic review”
- Marcin Waligora (Research Ethics in Medicine Study Group, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Poland):
“Ethics, risk and benefit for umbrella trials in oncology: a systematic review and meta-analysis”

Friday
25 August
(afternoon)

PARALLEL SESSIONS
VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3
LV – 1004

	Session 5.1 Empirical bioethics II ROOM: 201 Chair: Villius Dranseika	Session 5.2 Health and Disease ROOM: 202 Chair: Bjørn Hofmann	Session 5.3 Interdisciplinarity ROOM: 203 Chair: Margit Sutrop	Session 5.4 Method and methodology ROOM: 20 Chair: Regina Müller	Session 5.5 Enhancement ROOM: 205 Chair: Ignaas Devisch
14.00-14.25	Participant Observation as a Method for Empirical Bioethics Buhr, Eike; Schweda, Mark	Objectivism, Subjectivism, and Values: Rethinking Health and Disease Stempsey, William E	The COMMUNICARE Protocol: an interdisciplinary approach to convey severe diagnosis Consolandi, Monica	Can Public Reason Yield Legitimacy and Justice in Bioethics? Fleck, Leonard M	Human enhancement in bioethical discussions: a computational approach Żuradzki, Tomasz
14.30-14.55	Can empirical bioethics be descriptive? Corsico, Paolo	Health and Disease: Between Naturalism and Normativism Thorell, Amanda	Evaluating Human Epigenome Editing: Methods for Risk Assessment and Methodological Challenges for Bioethics Alex, Karla; Winkler, Eva	How Philosophy of Science Can Unlock New Methods in Bioethics Fedyk, Mark	Shifty Work Around Shift Work: Novel Critiques of Enhancement Evans, Nicholas; Dietz, Elizabeth
15.00-15.25	A dialogue between empirical bioethics and field philosophy in the French-speaking context Bogaert, Brenda; Dubar, Margaux	A proposal for a constructivist procedure-based ethical framework for One Health approaches Lerner, Henrik	Ethical perspectives on the traditional cost-effectiveness evaluation for the application of immunotherapy in the treatment of NSCLC Mahdiani, Hamideh; Münch, Nikolai	Interpretation and specification in bioethics: Never out of style? Segers, Seppe	
15.30-15.55	Mixed Signals: The integration of conceptual and empirical research to distinguish trustworthy behaviour from trustworthiness signalling in health data sharing practice Fitzsimmons, Paige	Planetary Health: Ethical Implications for Health Care Richie, Cristina; Verweij, Marcel	From the Biopolitics of Reproduction to the Bioethics of Reproduction Sándor, Judit	Ethicists Facing scandal: What to do when methodology is lacking? VanDyke, Amy	
16.00-16.30	BREAK				
16.30-17.30	ESPMH GENERAL ASSEMBLY ROOM: ALFA				
19.00-23.00	CONFERENCE DINER VENUE: RADISSON BLU DAUGAVA, KUĢU IELA 24				

Saturday 26 August (morning)							
PLENARY SESSION VENUE: HOUSE OF SCIENCE, JELGAVAS IELA 3 LV – 1004							
09.00-10.00	KADRI SIMM (UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, ESTONIA) TITLE: "ON THE POSSIBILITY OF TEACHING (BIO)ETHICS" <i>Chair: Joanna Różyńska</i> <i>Room: Alfa</i>						
10.00-10.30	BREAK						
	Session 6.1 Pondering bioethics ROOM: 201 <i>Chair: Shlomit Zuckerman</i>	Session 6.2 COVID-19 ROOM: 202 <i>Chair: Maartje Schermer</i>	Session 6.3 Death and dying ROOM: 203 <i>Chair: Gerrit Kimsma</i>	Session 6.4 Reproduction ROOM: 204 <i>Chair: Signe Mezinska</i>	Session 6.5 Global challenges ROOM: 205 <i>Chair: Leonard Fleck</i>	Session 6.6 Justice / injustice ROOM: 206 <i>Chair: Renzo Pegoraro</i>	Session 6.7 Clinical trials ROOM: 207 <i>Chair: Ana Borovecki</i>
10.30-10.55	The emergence of Mediterranean Bioethics: principal ideas and protagonists Muzur, Amir; Rinčić, Iva	How have biomedical ethics changed in the COVID-19 era? Shandera, Wayne X	Life on the edge: is it still possible to rely on the criterion of brain death? Mosetti, Stella	Managing genetic conditions in sperm donor conception: ethical and methodological challenges Accoe, Dorian	Health data sovereignty: A normative analysis Timmermann, Cristian; Ursin, Frank	Coercion and contributory injustice in mental healthcare – an analysis Hempeler, Christin; Faissner, Mirjam	Beware of a two-tier transparency in clinical research Strech, Daniel
11.00-11.25	A Dialogical Approach in Bioethics Árnason, Vilhjálmur	The Social Epistemology of COVID-19 (Un)Truths and the Bioethical Imperative for Democratizing scientific knowledge. Dennison, Corey	The death of an organism and death as the loss of moral status. Does the organismal superposition problem challenge the first, while nihilism challenges the second? Nowak, Piotr Grzegorz	The ethics of mitochondrial replacement techniques for treating infertility Braun, Esther	A basic quality appraisal regarding reasons for acceptable risk in Human Challenge Studies Katzer, Matthias; et al.	The dialogical thinking as an ethical theory between meta-ethics and applied ethics Sandonà, Leopoldo	How proven is a “proven intervention”? Ethics of placebo controls in the light of conditional approval programs for regenerative therapies Hug, Kristina
11.30-11.55	Media content analyses in bioethics Zimmermann, Bettina M	Research ethics guidelines for global crises: “distilled existing” or new? Lukaseviciene, Vilma; Lekstutiene, Jurate; Gefenas, Eugenijus	Humanity as Meaning in Face of Dying Other: The challenges of dignified care in Lithuania Urbonas, Gvidas; Peičius, Eimantas; Urbonienė, Aušra; Luneckaitė, Žydrūnė	Bodily integrity versus family interests Cutas, Daniela			Challenges and benefits of implementing open science practices in clinical trials. Aliukonis, Vygintas; Poškutė, Margarita
12.00-12.25	CLOSING SESSION ROOM: ALFA						