

**34th EUROPEAN CONFERENCE
ON PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH CARE
24 – 27 August 2022**

**DIVERSITY AND BIOETHICS
PROGRAMME (VERSION 13 MAY 2022)**

Wednesday 24 August	VENUE: AUDITORIUM BUILDING UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, FACULTY OF PHILOSOPHY BUILDING 3 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET
16.00-18.00	REGISTRATION
18.00-18.15	OPENING CEREMONY SPEAKERS: <i>PROF. ALOJZY NOWAK (UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW) – RECTOR OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW</i> <i>PROF. PETER KAKUK (CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY) – PRESIDENT OF THE ESPMH</i> <i>PROF. PAWEŁ ŁUKÓW (UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW) – DEAN OF THE FACULTY OF PHILOSOPHY; DIRECTOR OF THE CENTER FOR BIOETHICS & BIOLAW AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW</i> VENUE: POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZIC PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL NOWY ŚWIAT 72
18.15-19.45	PROF. MICHAEL GROSS (UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, ISRAEL) TITLE: "WARTIME BIOETHICS, MILITARY NECESSITY AND INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW." <i>Chair: Prof. Paweł Łuków</i> VENUE: POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZIC PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL NOWY ŚWIAT 72
19:45	WELCOME RECEPTION

Thursday
25 August
(morning)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

PROF. JUDIT SANDOR (CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY)
TITLE: "WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVES IN BIOETHICS AND BIOPOLITICS"
Chair: Prof. Ana Borovecki

PLENARY SESSION VENUE: POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZIC PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL
NOWY SWIAT 72

09.00-10.00

10.00-10.30

BREAK

Session 1.1
Diversity and pluralism
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.2
Bioethics and democratic
values
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.3
Informed consent
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.4
Moral pluralism and the
value of human life &
death
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.5
E-medicine & e-health
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.6
Artificial intelligence
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.7
COVID
ROOM:
Chair:

Session 1.8
Justice
ROOM:
Chair:

10.30-10.55

**Ethical Foundations for
Biomedicine in Diverse
Societies**
Mark Fedyk

**Democratic Justice: A
Really Bad Idea?**
Matti Häyry

**Inclusion in clinical
research: a cross-sectional
study assessing potential
barriers to informed
consent in randomized
controlled trials published
in top general and internal
medical journals**
Shelly Melissa Pranić et al.

**End of life decision-
making in ICUs in Croatia
what have we found so
far?**
Ana Borovecki

**Broadening the debate on
the ethics of electronic
health records**
Tim Jacquemard; Colin
Doherty; Mary Fitzsimons

**AI as a third pillar in a
future patient-physician
relationship: the case of
breast cancer screening**
Anto Čartolovni

**What unique aspects has
the COVID-19 pandemic
presented in the realm of
medical ethics?**
Wayne X Shandera

**Justice in the "project-
based polis": Ethical
implications for health
care systems**
Christian Lenk

11.00-11.25

**Normative pluralism and
rationality in medicine**
Wojciech Rutkiewicz

**The empty place of
knowledge. Why ethicists
should be aware of
becoming experts.**
Ignaas Devisch

**Challenges in (shared)
decision-making in gender
affirmative medical care:
an ethical analysis**
Karl Gerritse et al.

**Continuous deep sedation
until death (CDS) in
France**
Sandrine Bretonnière

**Healing at a distance:
Phenomenological
perspective on the quality
of the patient-health care
provider relationship in
teleconsultation**
Grinfelde, Mara

**Considering the Ethics of
AI in LMIC**
Michael McCarthy

**Frailty as a priority setting
criterion for potentially
life-saving treatment**
Daniel Joseph Warrington;
Søren Holm

**A sustainability principle
for the ethics of healthcare
resource allocation**
Christian Munthe; Erik
Malmqvist; Davide
Fumagalli

11.30-11.55

**Moral Pluralism and
LGBT Healthcare**
Josh Hyatt

**How to design consent for
research in democratic
societies?**
Svenja Wiertz

**Epistemic Injustice and
Informed Consent in
Psychiatry**
Joanna Smolenski

**Moral pluralism and the
value of human life &
death**
Lien De Proost

**eHealth and Regional
Inequalities**
Rossmailer, Leon

**Dynamic informed consent
in medical AI applications**
Luka Poslon; Anto
Čartolovni

**Ethical Analysis of the
Management of 2019-
nCoV in Israel: Re-
Mapping the Terrain**
Shlomit Zuckerman

**Intersectionality as a
critical tool to account for
diversity within mental
health care – a systematic
concept analysis**
Mirjam Faissner et al.

12.00-12.25

**Is health a necessary
condition to living the
good life?**
Jeffrey Byrnes

**Deliberation Processes and
Accountability Practices in
Bioethics**
Vilhjalmur Arnason

**Language as a barrier to
informed consent and
patient communications in
healthcare**
Sylvester C. Chima

**The legacy of eugenics in
the post-war period in
socialist countries**
Péter Kakuk

**EHealth components and
interpersonal relationships
in health care – a
paradigm shift? A scan for
traces**
Julia Krumme; László
Kovács; Linda Wienands

**The Human Challenge
Study with the SARS-
CoV-2 coronavirus: more
ethics required!**
Pouliot, François

12.30-12.55

**Report on methodology
and results of
Intercultural and
Interreligious dialogue in
Bioethics**
Joseph Tham

**Half a Century of
Bioethics and Philosophy
of Medicine. A Topic-
Modeling Study**
Żuradzki, Tomasz

**Medical Choices Under
Uncertainty About Patient
Values**
Markins, Nicholas

**Plural understanding of
end-of-life-care:
experience from lower-
middle income country**
Risat, Ilias Kamal

13.00-14.00

LUNCH

Session 1.9

Special
seminar
(see below)

ROOM:

Thursday
25 August
(morning)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

Session 1.9
ROOM:

**Special seminar:
The ethics of ethical consultation with biotech and pharmaceutical companies**

Chairs: Jeremy Sugarman & Christoph Rehmann-Sutter

Topic: Along with the growth of the field of bioethics, some biotech and pharmaceutical companies have engaged those with bioethics expertise. This can include ad hoc consultations as well as more sustained involvement, such as bioethics advisory boards comprised of academics from different disciplines, including philosophy, law, the social sciences and theology. While seeking the authentic advice of bioethicists to help analyze and address ethical issues is commendable, the practice can raise complex ethical challenges for bioethicists such as:

- What conflicts of interests and obligation arise in this work? How can they be appropriately managed?
- What mechanisms can be used to ensure integrity of the process?
- Are bioethics consultants or advisory boards “fig leaves” that veil economic interests?
- What should be the public role of bioethics consultants and advisory panels?
- What is the role and moral responsibilities of industrial leaders (such as chief medical officers, heads of research) in regard to bioethics experts?
- Is there “good practice” or a workplace ethics for bioethics consultants?

10.30-12.55

This special seminar shall describe and discuss these kinds of questions. Given the immense power and influence that industry and industry based biomedical R&D has for healthcare, it is essential to critically and constructively talk about the role of bioethics counseling in industry, and about professional responsibilities of bioethicists who do such work. The seminar consists of five 15 minutes input talks followed by questions, and an open roundtable where the audience can participate.

Contributions:

- Nancy King (Department of Social Sciences & Health Policy and Wake Forest Institute for Regenerative Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, USA):
“Bioethics in Pharma: Lap Dog, Show Dog, or Service Dog?”
- Emilia Kaczmarek (Department of Ethics, Faculty of Philosophy, Warsaw University, Poland):
“Should you only do it for free? Why it does matter who pays for an ethics consultation”
- Eugenijus Gefenas (Centre for Health Ethics, Law and History, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Lithuania):
“A “Fingleaf” Phenomenon and How to Deal with it”
- Jeremy Sugarman (Berman Institute of Bioethics, Department of Medicine, and Department of Health Policy and Management, Johns Hopkins University, USA):
“Bioethics Engagement with Industry: A Reality Test with Consequences”
- Christoph Rehmann-Sutter (Institute of History of Medicine and Science Studies, Lübeck University, Germany):
“Moral reasons in favour of bioethics consultation for pharmaceutical companies”

Thursday
25 August
(afternoon)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

	Session 2.1 Epistemic injustice ROOM: Chair:	Session 2.2 Issues of the body ROOM: Chair:	Session 2.3 Global bioethics ROOM: Chair:	Session 2.4 Right-to-try and compassionate use ROOM: Chair:	Session 2.5 New frontiers in medicine ROOM: Chair:	Session 2.6 Mental health and wellbeing ROOM: Chair	Session 2.7 Empirical bioethics ROOM: Chair
14.00-14.25	Epistemic in/justice in patient participation Marjolein de Boer	Elective impairment minus elective disability Gibson, Richard	Global Bioethics: "Back to the Future"? Renzo Pegoraro	A critical evaluation of the 'right to try' approach to the early access to medicines problem Søren Holm	The machine-like repair of aging. Disentangling the key assumptions of the SENS agenda Barranquero, Pablo García	Mental Health Activism and the Boundaries of Illness: Interrogating the Limits of Social Recognition Mohammed Abouelleil Rashed	How do citizens experience vulnerability in genomics? Chloé Mayeur
14.30-14.55	Precision medicine from the margins: standpoint epistemology and risks of epistemic injustice in precision medicine Kenis, Daan	The Distance of the Autonomous Body Abstract Andrew Martin	Human genome editing: between universalism and particularism Julia Stanek	Challenging the paradigm of limits in medicine: <i>The right to try</i> movement in the United States Sandrine Bretonnière	Ethical Advertising and Moral Bioenhancement Sarah Carter-Walshaw	The Therapeutic Stance and the Defusion of Normative Judgements in Cognitive Behavioural Therapy Ratnayake, Sahanika	Professional and emotional care of a patient in physiotherapists' opinion! Alicja Przyłuska-Fiszler et al.
15.00-15.25		The Genome as a Legal Phenomenon Darya Chernyaeva; Darya Koto	Strengthening Global Human Research Protection Programs Elyse I Summers; Michelle Feige	What's wrong with life-saving drug lottery? Joanna Rozynska	Philosophical Aspects of Biomimetics in Medicine Cristina Richie; Martí Verdaguer Mallorquí	Recasting 'self-care' as a physician's ethical practice Pacífico Eric Eusebio Calderon	
15.30-16.00	BREAK						
	Session 3.1 Transplants and organoids ROOM: Chair:	Session 3.2 Sex and gender ROOM: Chair:	Session 3.3 Alternative & non-Western medicine ROOM: Chair:	Session 3.4 Cultural diversity and concepts of health, disease, and illness ROOM: Chair:	Session 3.5 Robotics ROOM: Chair:	Session 3.6 Deliberation, communication, and decision-making ROOM: Chair:	
16.00-16.25	The conceptual shift from altruism to reciprocity is needed for ethical justification of kidney exchange programs Peter Sýkora	A principled ethical approach to intersex paediatric surgeries Behrens, Kevin	Does Complementary and Alternative Medicine correspond to ideals put forth in Medical Humanism? Ines Sophie Pietschmann; Marcel Mertz	Ethical and Cultural Factors and their Influence on Chronic Diseases in Italy: Bioethical Perspectives Matteo Zanetti	Research Integration of Ethical and Social Implications in Research and Development for Health Care Robotics Kirsten Brukamp	Deliberation and dialogue in hermeneutical clinical ethics: whose horizons should be fused? Kenji Hattori	
16.30-16.55	Conflicts between patient wishes and health practitioner duties: the case of transplant tourism into China David Matas	The sex binary as a perceptive act Christoph Rehmann-Sutter	Traditional Chinese Medicine: biological and cultural diversity under threat Viola, Andrea Olmo	Exploring Diversity in Attitudes towards Early Diagnosis and Risk Prediction Zümrüt Alpınar Sencan et al.	Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Islamic Ethics: The Moral Dilemmas of Humanoid Robots Mohammed Ghaly	French clinical ethical committees: How French health care professionals are dealing with ethical issues? Catherine Dekeuwer	
17.00-17.25	Ethicists into the lab – once again? How to conceive of a sound practice turn for bioethics Pichl, Anja	Moral Challenges in Transgender Care: A Thematic Analysis Based on a Focused Ethnography Karl Gerritse et al.	Personalising the concept of disease: resources from traditional Chinese medicine Mary Jean Walker	Difficulties in drawing the line – A Foucaultian view on diagnosis Antonia Sahn		Personality discrimination and hiring? Is it permissible to prefer extroverts over introverts? Joona Räsänen	

<p style="text-align: center;">Friday 26 August (morning)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VENUE: AUDITORIUM BUILDING UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW 26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET</p>								
09.00-10.00	<p style="text-align: center;">PROF. ZBIGNIEW SZAWARSKI (UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, POLAND) TITLE: "ANTINOMIES IN PUBLIC HEALTH" <i>Chair: Prof. Eugenijus Gefenas</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">PLENARY SESSION VENUE: POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZCZ PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL NOWY SWIAT 72</p>							
10.00-10.30	BREAK							
	Session 4.1 Research ethics ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.2 Moral expertise and moral experts ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.3 Diversity in bioethics ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.4 Doctor-patient relationship ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.5 Reproductive medicine ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.6 Big data on health and data mining ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 4.7 Disease, illness & health ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	
10.30-10.55	The scope of justice-based obligations in health research priority-setting Danielle Wenner	Professional expertise in giving ethical advice. Empirical data and ethical analysis from clinical ethics consultation in psychiatry. Jochen Vollmann; Joschka Haltaufderheide; Jakov Gather; Jan Schildmann; Georg Juckel	The price of the diversity of bioethics Cutas, Daniela	Doctor-patient relationship and its evolution: ethical implication of modern technologies Aistė Bartkienė ¹ , Margarita Poškutė ² Rytis Virbalis	Single mother by choice – a contested account of parenthood Stefanie Weigold	Open Data Policy and Biobank Research – Some Implications for Informed Consent Emmi Kaaya	Autonomy in Politics and in the Definitions of Health and Disease Andrew Spear	
11.00-11.25	Result-adaptive trial as a new approach to the research in the time of epidemics Marcin Dudek	From moral expertise to ethics consultancy in clinical context. Kadri Simm	Is There a Moral Imperative to Pursue Ethnic/Cultural Diversity Among American Health Care Ethicists and Academic Ethicists? Amy M. VanDyke	The specter of paternalism haunts the shared decision making Yi-Ting Kuo; Ya-Ping Lin	Applying the Dual-Interest Theory: Another Argument in Favor Of Pregnant Women's Autonomy Emma Moormann	Data Access and Use Policies in All of Us: Balancing Tensions between Broad Access and Protecting Privacy in "Big Data" Research Katherine Blizinsky	Normative Metaphysics and the Definition of Death John Lizza	Session 4.8 Special seminar (See below)
11.30-11.55	Trustworthiness of research biobanks: how to build it? Signe Mezinska	Ethics consultation in border medical-ethical cases: To the perspective of patient's subject position Siniukova, Natalia	Historical Diversity in Bioethics: Jewish medical ethical law in 16th and 17th century Poland Avrohom Marmorstein	The Patient Life Empowerment Approach Brenda Bogaert	Responsibility, carriership and preconception expanded carrier screening Sofia Morberg Jämterud	Nothing about me without me! Ethical Aspects of Using Big Data to Improve IVF Provision Orit Golan; Rachel Gould	Disagreement on death and what to do about it? Ivars Neiders	ROOM:
12.00-12.25	Trachea transplants and the regulation of unproven methods in clinical innovation Gardar Arnason	(Too much) technological optimism (or pessimism) constructs simplistic theoretical foundations Johanna Ahola-Launonen	Are We Actually Achieving Culturally Competent Healthcare? Ruiz, Brandon	Meaning of being. About closeness in the treatment process from a law and psychology perspective Agnieszka Bielska-Brodziak; Marlena Drapalska Grochowicz	A bioethical policy debate concerning the recent 'surrogate mother' case in Russia. Roman Tarabrin	Informed consent: is it still a fundamental principle of human research? Eugenijus Gefenas. V. Lukaseviciene, J. Lekstutiene	Precision health/ ethical ambiguity. How much cancer can we afford to prevent? Fleck, Leonard	
12.30-12.55	The Ethical Challenges of Governing Biobanking for Genetic Research in India Manjulika Vaz	Experience and Expertise: Could a Person's Experience of Mental Illness be the Basis of Professional Expertise? Abdi Sanati		Conflicting Interests in Cases of Medical Negligence Natali Levin	"It is highly unlikely that your child is healthy" Baldus, Marion	Responsibility and moral diversity in IT-based decision support systems Wenke Liedtke; Martin Langanke	A Wittgensteinian View of the Naturalist-Normativist Debate Concerning the Definition of Health Espino, Lawrence	
13.00-14.00	LUNCH							

Friday
26 August
(morning)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

Session 4.8
ROOM:

Special seminar: Equal access to healthcare in Europe

Chairs: Paweł Łuków & Florian Steger

Topic: The seminar is linked to the ongoing project HERA: Public Spaces. Culture and Integration in Europe (2019–2022) – “Healthcare as a Public Space: Social Integration and Social Diversity in the Context of Access to Healthcare in Europe.” The project is financially supported by the HERA Joint Research Programme (www.heranet.info) under HERA Public Spaces: Culture and Integration in Europe Programme (Hera.2.029) which is co-funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF); National Science Centre, Poland (Project No. 2018/28/Z/HS1/00554); Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts; Slovenian Ministry of Education, Science and Sport and European Commission through Horizon 2020 (grant agreement No 769478).

Healthcare as a public space can be conceived of as a space of integration and equity. Equal access to healthcare is crucial for modern democracies, particularly when healthcare is perceived as a social service, which can contribute significantly to fairness and equal opportunity. Equality in healthcare is even more demanding in the context of the growing diversity of contemporary European societies. Diverse health needs are a constant challenge to anti-discrimination policies and activities.

Although the European Union’s Charter of Fundamental Rights guarantees the right to healthcare, healthcare appears to be a space of contradictions and confrontations, combining various elements of both integration and exclusion. One reason is that the principles of equal treatment and non-discrimination have been adopted fragmentarily in the European Union; the other reason is that healthcare remains mainly within the competencies of the Member States.

Adopting the comparative and interdisciplinary approach, we will examine anti-discriminatory policies, regulations and practices in the context of healthcare in Europe. We will pay particular attention to the ways in which anti-discrimination measures in healthcare are designed, adopted, and implemented, as well as to the ways in which they are neglected, ignored or even openly opposed.

During this seminar, we will ask the following questions: What are ethical, cultural, and normative aspects of integration and exclusion within the healthcare sector? How social diversity issues in the context of access to healthcare are regulated on the European and national level? Are aspects of racial, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender or sexual orientation associated with disparities in healthcare systems? How deep are these disparities? What ethical problems for healthcare professionals and patients are raised by the phenomenon of social diversity? How are these issues resolved in everyday healthcare practice? How can these problems be addressed and ameliorated?

10.30-12.55

Contributions:

- Florian Steger (Institute of the History, Philosophy and Ethics of Medicine, Ulm University, Germany):
“EU Research Project „Healthcare as a Public Space: Social Integration and Social Diversity in the Context of Access to Healthcare in Europe”
- Paweł Łuków (Center for Bioethics and Biolaw, Institute of Philosophy, University of Warsaw, Poland):
“Accessibility of healthcare services as a relational concept”
- Marcin Orzechowski (Institute of the History, Philosophy and Ethics of Medicine, Ulm University, Germany):
“Social diversity as a challenge – Equal access to healthcare from the German perspective”
- Katarzyna Bieleńska (Center for Bioethics and Biolaw, Institute of Philosophy, University of Warsaw, Poland):
“Access to healthcare for diverse patients: National peculiarities in Poland”
- Anna Chowaniec (Center for Bioethics and Biolaw, Institute of Philosophy, University of Warsaw, Poland):
“‘Diversity competency’ in Polish hospitals internal regulations. Are the EU and Polish guidelines on equal access to healthcare implemented into the hospitals’ policies?”
- Ivana Tutić Grokša and Robert Doričić (Department of Social Sciences and Medical Humanities, Faculty of Medicine, University of Rijeka, Croatia):
“Access to healthcare of minority groups in Croatia - comparison of legal regulations and practices ”

Friday 26 August (afternoon)								VENUE: AUDITORIUM BUILDING UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW 26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET							
	Session 5.1 Neurodiversity ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.2 Ethics/bioethics committees ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.3 Global health problems, research, practice, and policy ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.4 Conscientious objection in medicine ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.5 Diversity and justice in healthcare ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.6 Public health surveillance technologies ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 5.7 Medical decisions at the end of life ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>								
14.00-14.25	Is Neurodiversity a Valid Concept? Rethinking mental functions Robert Chapman	Diversity in the ethics committee for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes Joanna Wysocka-Andrusiewicz	Malaria morbidity/mortality inequality-gap in sub-Saharan Africa on the rise! Biradzem, Dine Charles	Accounting for the Referral Requirement: Conscientious Objection and the Idea of a Morally Permissible Moral Mistake. Nathan Emmerich	Who belongs to us? The right to advanced medical interventions among non-citizens in Sweden Rolf Ahlzn	Medical confidentiality, communicable diseases, and public health: the case of HIV positive sex workers <i>Maria Damanaki</i>	Moral diversity and the ethics of assisted dying Stephan Sahn	Session 5.8 Special seminar (see below) ROOM:							
14.30-14.55	Diagnosis as a moral dilemma: case of autism spectrum disorder. Marie Sommier	Ethics/bioethics committees Leopoldo Sandonà	Do States Have a Moral Duty to Participate in Addressing the Global Challenge of Antibiotic Resistance? Lovro Savic	Conscientious objection in healthcare, moral complicity, and the duty to refer: Taking reasonable pluralism seriously Denkhau, Ruth	Diversity of men who have sex with men (MSM) as ethical problem and potential in new strategies of HIV prophylaxis Mathias Wirth	GEN-Ethics: What do Norwegian women think of utilising genetic testing as part of breast cancer screening? Johansen, Rigmor Katrine	Travelling to die: Views, attitudes and end-of-life preferences of Israelis considering receiving aid-in-dying in Switzerland Daniel Sperling								
15.00-15.25	A Pluralistic Account of Autism: A Case for Strategic Conceptual Engineering Mahmoud Shabani	Clinical Ethics Committees in transitional countries: is it time for optimism? Nato Pitshkelauri, Nino Chikhladze	Global responsibilities v personal autonomy – ‘vaccine-preventable’ diseases: whose or which ethics matter most? Miller, Jane	Why conscience based refusals to provide patient care ought not to be accommodated Udo Schuklenk	Diversity and access to healthcare in Croatia Robert Doričić	Jonas Salk, Polio Vaccine, and Vaccinating Against Hate Donald H. Marks	Experiences and attitudes of medical professionals on treatment of end-of-life patients in intensive care units in the Republic of Croatia Spoljar, Diana								
15.30-15.55		The role of clinical ethic committees in Italy on end-of-life matters and beyond Chiurco, Carlo	Rethinking research ethics: need for cultural relevance Iyioke, Ike	Conscientious Refusal: Epistemic Dismissal or Epistemic Disagreement? Espinoza, Marissa	Medical Institutions' Right of Self-Governance in Refusing to Provide Physician-Assisted Suicide: Injustice and Limits Yoann Della Croce	The need for an ethical framework for health data reuse and its purposes Saelaert, Marlies	End-of-life Options in Humans and Animals: a Comparison Gerald Neitzke; Peter Kunzmann; Kirsten Persson; Johanna Risse; Felicitas Selter								
16.00-16.25		Evaluating the impact of Clinical Ethics Committees: A systematic review Crico Chiara, Sanchini Virginia, Casali Paolo G., Pravettoni Gabriella		Conscientious objection in the profession of pharmacist. A survey of pharmacists' opinions on the conscience clause in Poland Justyna Czekajewska, Dominik Langer, Ewa Baum		Participant Recruitment and Engagement - Fostering Inclusivity and Diversity in the Obesity and its hidden tragedy of commons Cristian Timmermann	Religion & End-of-Life Healthcare: Accommodating Differing Values, Norms and Ontologies Aasim I. Padela								
16.30-17.00	BREAK														
17.00-18.00	ESPMH GENERAL ASSEMBLY PLENARY SESSION VENUE : POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZIC PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL NOWY SWIAT 72														
19.00-23.00	CONFERENCE DINNER														

Friday
26 August
(afternoon)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

Session 5.8
ROOM:

Special seminar:
Participant Recruitment and Engagement - Fostering Inclusivity and Diversity in the *All of Us* Research Program

Chair:

Topic: The *All of Us* Research Program (AoU), is a large federal longitudinal cohort program designed to be ethically robust and inclusive of the United States national population. AoU hopes to collect genomic, biological, environmental, and lifestyle longitudinal data and biospecimens from one million or more people living in the United States. The scientific resources of AoU will reflect the socioeconomic and demographic (race, ethnicity, gender, age etc.) diversity of the U.S. population. AoU aims especially, to include historically underrepresented groups and communities, and to have the large and heterogeneous data base be open and accessible to a wide array of researchers and data users. This raises familiar ELSI concerns about the potential for harm to individuals, groups, and communities, especially those who have faced systemic discrimination and historical research abuses. It also presents new challenges and opportunities for meaningful and sustained community engagement, which is needed to build trust, set expectations, and prevent harm to participants and communities in precision medicine research. As core values, the program has promised broad access to its resources for research and to simultaneously uphold the privacy and security of participants. Enabling and managing access to these resources for a wide range of researchers (including citizen scientists) at national scale, while ensuring responsible use, presents competing tensions and ELSI challenges both familiar and new.

This session will provide an exploration of goals, progress, and challenges faced by AoU examined through the lenses of experiences of program/consortium partners and NIH staff. Panelists will discuss how ELSI considerations are being addressed in design, policy, and operations of the program. They will describe strategies used for facilitating trusting relationships with diverse participant populations; ensuring appropriate communication of population-specific risks/benefits, implications, and limitations in consent and other materials; and approaching bidirectional data sharing with participants in responsible, culturally competent ways. Panelists will share AoU approaches and experiences with participant and community engagement and feedback, integrating participants in AoU governance, challenges faced, and needs going forward. The panelists will also detail how considerations of equitable and transparent access, protecting participant privacy, and reducing potential harm to individuals, groups, and communities are being integrated in developing and implementing data access and use policies; processes to monitor data use behaviors and review and adjudicate data use agreement violations, including potentially stigmatizing research; and developing policies and governance structures for biospecimen access and use, respectively. The following moderated open discussion will focus on approaches needed to integrate ELSI research and stakeholders' feedback for anticipating and addressing concerns in an ongoing way as technology and policy continue to evolve, policy and legal issues surrounding inclusion of diverse and vulnerable participants at national scale, addressing considerations of justice, and stakeholder expectations of equitable access to outcomes of precision medicine research.

14.00-16.25

Session Aims

- Understand AoU challenges and experiences in recruiting populations underrepresented in biomedical research and approaches for participant and community engagement
- Understand data and biospecimen access and use policies of AoU and their ELSI implications.
- Understand AoU processes for monitoring resource use, reviewing, and adjudicating user agreement violations, and approaches needed to prevent harm to participants, groups and communities
- Evaluate and anticipate ELSI considerations for sustaining trust-based relations with participants, groups and communities in precision medicine broadly, and specifically for AoU
- Identify, anticipate and evaluate ELSI issues focusing on group and community perspectives of benefit and harm and enabling fair and beneficial use for betterment of all

Session Details: Each speaker will make a 15-minute presentation followed by 20 minutes Q&A session for all speakers together. Next the panel will have a moderated discussion for 45 minutes with the audience. The last 15 minutes will be used to collect questions and surface ELSI issues for *All of Us* to consider in the near horizon from the audience.

Contributions:

- Ericka Thomas (Participant Priorities Lead, Policy Office, The *All of Us* Research Program, National Institutes of Health, USA):
"Participant Recruitment and Engagement - Fostering Inclusivity and Diversity in the *All of Us* Research Program"
- Katherine Blizinsky (Director, Policy Office, The *All of Us* Research Program, National Institutes of Health, USA):
"Data Access and Use Policies in *All of Us*: Balancing Tensions between Broad Access and Protecting Privacy in "Big Data" Research"
- Subhashini Chandrasekharan (Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications Lead, Policy Office, The *All of Us* Research Program, National Institutes of Health, USA):
"Biospecimen Access and Data Use Monitoring: Preventing Harm to Individuals and Communities"
- Jessica Reusch (Genomics Lead, Policy Office, The *All of Us* Research Program, National Institutes of Health, USA):
"Informed Consent for the *All of Us* Research Program: Experiences and Challenges at National Scale"

**VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET**

09.00-10.00

PROF. HANS VAN DELDEN (UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, THE NETHERLANDS)
TITLE: "DIVERSITY AND PERSON-CENTERED CARE: NATURAL ALLIES BUT NOT WITHOUT BLIND SPOTS"
Chair: Prof. Bert Gordijn

PLENARY SESSION VENUE: POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, THE STASZIC PALACE, THE MIRROR HALL
 NOWY SWIAT 72

10.00-10.30

BREAK

	Session 6.1 Conflict, tolerance & compromise ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 6.2 Dementia ROOM: <i>Chair</i>	Session 6.3 Autonomy and informed consent ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 6.4 Access to healthcare ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 6.5 Ethical theory ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 6.6 Wearables in healthcare & m-health ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	Session 6.7 Citizen science, participatory research & public engagement ROOM: <i>Chair:</i>	
10.30-10.55	Ideological compromise and the delivery of healthcare in prisons Andrew Forrester	Ethics and dementia: Between quality of life and life expectancy Gila Yakov; Rachel Nissanholtz-Gannot; Inbal Halevi Hochwald	Operationalizing authenticity – matching treatments with patients or fitting patients to treatments? Jasper Debrabander	Beyond biopolitics: the importance of the later work of Foucault to understand care practices of healthcare workers caring for undocumented migrants. Dirk Lafaut	The Suffering of the Many Outweighs the Suffering of the Few or the One. Steven Firth	Self-tracking and personalisation in healthcare Michał Wieczorek	Increasing participation of diverse public stakeholders in biomedical research oversight Emily E. Anderson	
11.00-11.25	The Swedish discussion about conscientious objection in health care – an example for current political polarisation and inability for compromise. Jörg Carlsson	The Value of Privacy in Technologically Assisted Dementia Care <i>Eike Buhr and Mark Schweda</i>	A defence of the competent child's right to refuse medical treatment Hewitson, Ruth	The Many Meanings of Perineal Injuries. Contentious Attitudes and Equal Care Lisa Guntram	An Ethics of Welcome Lois Shepherd	On the moral reasons for problematizing the dominance of pregnancy-related mHealth (despite, or because of, the promise of better neonatal outcomes) Segers, Seppe	Public engagement on Germline Genome Editing: decreasing or replicating wider polarisation in society? Oliver Feeney	Session 6.8 Special seminar (see below) ROOM:
11.30-11.55	When rules become "recommendations": Canadian public gym as a mirror of the latest trends in the Western Society Ari Belenkiy	Empowered by technology? Reflections on the changing dementia care ethos by means of monitoring and assistive technologies Schick Tanz, Silke; Welsch, J; Perry, J	Relational autonomy in the light of hope in end-of-life care Szabat, Marta	Ethical Considerations When Governments Rely on Volunteer Organizations to Provide Medical Care to Immigrants Seeking Asylum Summer Shepherd	Moral distress: A more revised, comprehensive, and evidence-based theory and its mechanism are required Grace Qamar, Daniel Sperling	The ethics of hypernudging in mHealth apps Paul C. Kuyser	Power and ethics in qualitative health (promotion) research Per-Anders Tengland	
12.00-12.25	Ethical implications of DAMA: Cross-sectional survey of attitudes and intended behaviour among Lithuanian physicians Cekanauskaitė, Asta et al.	Framings of 'Alzheimer's disease': Differences between scientific and public debates and their ethical implications Marthe Smedinga	Intersectionality and autonomy in bioethics: in search for diversity and convergence Michiel De Proost			Diversity of ethical perspectives in medicine 4.0 – A project report Bettina Schmietow; Georg Lindinger	Engaging uninformed or misinformed publics: Hearing every voice Van Hoof, Wannes; Mertes, Heidi; Mayeur, Chloé	
12.30-12.55	Kaeno Hospital and Dr. Neglectful: A Case in Neglectful Medical Management Aradhna Malik		Ethical Considerations on Cosmetic Surgery with Cutting-Edge Medical Technologies Kayo Takashima; Jusaku Minari				The race for public trust: is public engagement the solution? Louise Mathieu; Wannes Van Hoof	

13.00-13.30

CLOSING SESSION
ROOM:

Saturday
27 August
(morning)

VENUE:
AUDITORIUM BUILDING
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
26-28 KRAKOWSKIE PRZEDMIESCIE STREET

Session 6.8
ROOM:

Special seminar:

Ethical Challenges of Organ Transplantation – Universal Claims and Diverse Attitudes

Chair: Paweł Luków

10.30-12.55

Topic: Starting as an experimental practice, organ transplantation has initiated discussions since the 1960s. Including profound bioethical debates such as the concept of brain death in postmortem donation, and the irreversible removal of organs from the living, the history of organ transplantation is a paradigm of modern medicine. Today, organ transplantation has evolved to a widely established practice, offering a cure for chronic illness, often saving lives and improving their quality. However, advances and standardizations of this practice raise increasingly complex ethical dilemmas and debates. As with no other medical treatment, transplantation ethics must consider and balance not only the interests and rights of patients and physicians, but also those of donors and their relatives. Our seminar will inspire the ongoing debates in the field of transplantation medicine from different angles. We will combine both theoretical and empirical approaches. The overall aim is a joint reflection to respect the diversity of attitudes to organ donation with its normative implications for individual and policy decisions. This special seminar will consist of short input talks (max. 15-20 min. each) followed by a roundtable discussion to which the audience is invited.

Contributions:

- Alberto Molina Perez (Department of Philosophy, University of Granada, Spain):
"Models of Consent, Autonomy, and the Role of the Family"
- Søren Holm (Centre for Social Ethics and Policy, School of Law' University of Manchester, UK):
"Fairness, Transparency and Responsibility in Organ Allocation"
- Paweł Luków (Center for Bioethics and Biolaw, Institute of Philosophy, University of Warsaw, Poland):
"The Transplant Patient, Personal Identity, and a Good Life"